

# A Comparison Between TOEFL and Michigan Test Scores and Student Success in (1) Freshman English and (2) Completing a College Program 

Original data consisted of a list of 598 non-native English speaking students who entered the Church College of Hawaii during the years from September 1960 through February 1972 whose records included entering TOEFL and/or Michigan Test Scores. Students were dropped from the list who did not have scores for both tests or who did not have available Freshman English grades or a graduation record. This left 402 students for the study.
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Charts No. 1 and 2 show the distribution of these students and the total entering students during this time.

This article was delivered at the national NAFSA Convention held in Atlanta, Georgia, May 2-5, 1972.

The total number of students in the study with rdmissible data who entered during the years 1959 through 1966 was 69 . This group would normally have completed the four years required for graduation. There were 23 graduates, 10 transfers to other institutions; 15 were academically dismissed from the College, 16 dropped out (some with academic warning) and 5 are still in school.

Chart No. 3 shows a comparison of years in school from entrance until graduation with the entrance tests.

Of the 23 graduates one graduated in three years, three in four years, nine in five years, nine in six years, and two took seven years to complete their college work. One student was given an honorable dismissal with completed work but not a diploma ecause she failed to pass the required English Proficiency exam.
(Beginning Fall 1969 the English equirement was changed. Instead of two emesters of required Freshman English and a Junior Proficiency Exam, four semesters of English with a grade of C or better [with no

```
1960
```

| Ent．Students | 3 | 3 | 3 | 14 | 45 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 34 | 1.44 | 143 | 123 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

exam］became part of the general with their current status are shown on graduation requirements．）
Most foreign students at the Church College of Hawaii come on advanced loans and earn all their college expenses by working at the college（all gardening and janitorial service is done by students with supervisory help），the Polynesian Cultural Center（opened in 1963），or in the pineapple fields and canneries．This additional work load might account for many who took additional time to complete their college work．However，all carried at least the twelve hours required by immigration while they were in school．

Figures for the students in the study who entered CCH from 1967 through Spring 1972

Charts No． $4 A \& 4 B$

See Chart No． 5
A comparison of TOEFL total scores and Michigan equated scores shows the following：

The highest TOEFL score was 688 with a matching Michigan equated 86 and Michigan aural 87．The next highest TOEFL was 646 with a Michigan score of 98 equated and an aural of 95 ．（This was the highest Michigan score．）The lowest TOEFL score was 308 with a matching 43 Michigan equated and an aural of 50 while the lowest Michigan score was an equated 35 ，aural 47 ，with a TOEFL of 330 ．There was a wide discrepancy between the two scores on all levels as can be seen by Charts 6 \＆ 7

## Chart No． 2

TOTAL ENROLLMENT BY COUNTTRY AND NATIONALITY

| YEAR |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { H } \\ \text { H } \\ \text { ng } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{H} \\ & \vec{N} \\ & \overrightarrow{y y} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { g } \\ \text { 篤 } \\ \text { 感 } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 和 } \\ & \text { 㐌 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1956－57 |  | 1 | 4 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 175 | 41 | 223 |
| 1957－58 |  |  |  |  |  | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 323 |
| 1958－59． |  | 7 | 7 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 208 | 39 | 266 |
| 1959－60 |  | 12 | 19 |  | 3 | 14 |  | 5 | 3 |  | 7 | 337 | 1.38 | 538 |
| 1960－61 |  | 10 | 28 | － 3 | 3 | 27 |  | 16 | 4 |  | 3 | 371 | 98 | 563 |
| 1961－62 |  | 17 | 33 | 4 | 1 | 32 |  | 24 | 4 |  | 1 | 621 | 1.25 | 862 |
| 1962－63 |  | 32 | 57 | 7 | 9 | 21 | 4 | 16 | 7 |  | 1 | 659 | 119 | 932 |
| 1963－64 |  | 32 | 63 | 12 | 5 | 13 | 4 | 10 | 8 |  | 6 | 596 | 117 | 865 |
| 1964－65 |  | 51 | 78 | 15 | 7 | 12 | 3 | 12 | 4 |  |  | 718 | 109 | 1009 |
| 1965－66 |  | 41 | 70. | 8 | 13 | 17 | 2 | 14 | 5 |  | 13 | 558 | 140 | 889 |
| 1966－67． |  | 40 | 62. | 18 | 6 | 27 | 13 | 21 | 8 |  | 3 | 664 | 174 | 1036 |
| 1967－68 |  | 44 | 48 | 19 | 7 | 35. | 16 | 19 | 9 |  | 8 | 671 | 220 |  |
| 1968－69 |  | 50 | 50 | 28 | 9 | 31. | 14. | 14 | 6 |  | 13 | 706 | 192 |  |
| 1969－70 | 43 | 25 | 71 | 29 | 17 | 57 | 20 | 16 | 10 | 3 | 15 | 674 | 231 | 1211 |
| 1970－71 | 83. | 22 | 110 | 21 | 33 | 52 | 24 | 18 | 32 | 3 | 51 | 611 | 247 | 1307 |

## Chart No. 3

TOEFL Test Scores for graduates range from a low of 372 to a high of 624. Michigan Test Scores axe from 57 equated to a high of 67 equated. A comparison of years in school from entrance until graduation with entrance tests follows:

TOEFL test score 111
Mich. test scores *(11)

| Years in <br> School | No. of <br> Students | Low |  |  |  | High |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1 | 530 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*When directly underneath TOBFL Scores are for the same student.

## Chart No. 4A

Total In School Transfer

Dropped

## Acadenic Dismissal <br> Non Academic Dismissal

| No of Students | 8 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High TOEFL |  | $530(80)$ | $438(43)$ | 530 | $552(88)$ |
| Low TOEFL | $482(71)$ | 336 | $450(70)$ |  |  |
| High Mich | $80(530)$ | $43(438)$ | $70(450)$ | $88(552)$ |  |
| Low Mich | 69 |  |  | $80(552)$ |  |

No of students 21

| 6 | 4 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $548(85)$ | $336(58)$ | $566(90)$ |
| $452(72)$ |  | $384(60)$ |
| $86(518)$ | 68 | $90(566)$ |
| 56 | 49 | $60(384)$ |

1 384 (60)
$60(384)$

10 542 (82) 95 76

High Mich
Low Mich

| 65 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $528(86)$ | $532(73)$ |
| $312(44)$ | 366 |
| 93 | 84 |
| $66(504)$ | $73(532)$ |


| 21 | 10 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 446 | $542(82)$ |  |
| $362(52)$ |  |  |
| 77 | 95 | 76 |
| $40(406)$ | 66 |  |



| 1970 | No of Students | 103 | 85 | 4 | 13 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High toeft |  | 646 (98) | 380 (51) | 460 | 544 |
|  | LOW TOEPL |  | 312(62) |  | 314(43) |  |
|  | High Mich |  | 98 | 74 | 66 (416) | 85 |
|  | Low Mich |  | 56 | 50 | 43 (314) |  |
| 1971 | No of Students | 79 | 77 |  | $\therefore \quad 2$ |  |
|  | High TOEFL |  | 688(86) |  | 498(74) |  |
|  | LOW POEFE |  | 312(39) |  | 348(55) |  |
|  | High Mich |  | 98 |  | 74 (498) |  |
|  | Low Mich |  | 39 (312) |  | $55(348)$ |  |
| 1972(Spri | No of Students | 18 | 18 |  |  |  |
|  | Semester) |  | for toefl | H compa | s ondy) |  |

Correlation on computer runs of TOEFL and Michigan test scores are shown on Chart 8 Runs were made on the totals and then individually on the structure, grammar, vocabulary, reading comprehension and aural comprehension. The Michigan test does not have a writing score and its aural test is not included in the equated total. Both writing and listening comprehension are included in the total TOEFL score.

Chart No. 5

The moderate positive correlation in the total scores would have more significance if the individual tests showed more positive correlation.

Evidently the measurement of writing ability .- which is not based on a writing sample but on choices of written passages .- has some weight on the total TOEFL score because the listening comprehension is lower (.499) and so probably does not influente the total for positive higher correlation. Reading

CCH ENTERING STUDENTS 1967-1971

| Year | South <br> Pacific | Orient | Hawaii | Mainland <br> \& Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19.67 | 53 | 12 | 268 | 62 | 495 |
| 1968 | 81 | 13 | 301 | 48 | 442 |
| 1969 | 51 | 13 | 240 | 144 | 448 |
| 1970 | 16 | 67 | 197 | 183 | 611 |
| 1971 | 48 | 53 | 150 | 190 | 440 |

comprehension shows but . 49 with vocabulary with .662 the highest of the individual scores although it too does not come up to the total score correlation of .662.

Note the wide differences in some of these scores:

Beginning levels show a 39 and 40 Michigan with 312-406 in the corresponding TOEFL scores (a differences of 94 points).

A 44 Michigan equated score has both a 312 and 466 TOEFL score (a 154 point difference). A 54 Michigan has a 316 and a 464 (a 148 point difference). A 58 has a 355 and 538 (a 183 point difference).

The widest difference is found at 61 Michigan-the crucial intermediate stagewith

336 and 542 TOEFL scores (a. 206 point difference). A 68 Michigan has 364 and 510 (a 146 difference).

In using a 450 TOEFL cut off score a student with 412 , but an 88 Michigan Test score, could not be accepted. On the other hand, 466 reveals a 44 Michigan score-far too low to suceed in Freshman English.

A cut off at 500 yields a 510 with a 68 and a 500 at 89 -again a vast difference.

Correlation coefficients on the two entrance tests by countries reveal some interesting statistics. Note that Japan (.2129) does not even show any significant correlation.

In our English Language Institute at the Church College of Hawaii we have found that the Michigan Test scores except for Test D. are very reliable for student placement.

## Chart No. 6

EOUATED MICHIGAN TEST SCORES WETH COMPARATIVE TOEFL. TORALS

| 35 | 330 | 56 | 316 | 62 | 312 | 67 | 41.6 | 73 | 464 | 83 | 476 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | 330 | 56 | 394 | 62 | 398 | 67 | 466 | 73 | 440 | 84 | 488 |
| 39 | 350 | 56 | 31.6 | 62 | 370 | 68 | 428 | 73 | 464 | 84 | 488 |
| 39 | 312 | 56 | 394 | 63 | 420 | 68 | 408 | 74 | 498 | 85 | 548 |
| 40 | 406 | 57 | 366 | 63 | 432 | 68 | 498 | 74 | 502 | 85 | 544 |
| 41 | 360 | 57 | 452 | 63 | 410 | 58 | 408 | 74 | 502 | 85 | 544 |
| 42 | 332 | 57 | 368 | 63 | 432 | 68 | 498 | 75 | 472 | 86 | 528 |
| 42 | 332 | 57 | 388 | 63 | 434 | 68 | 408 | 75 | 510 | 86 | 518 |
| 43 | 308 | 57 | 368 | 63 | 408 | 68 | 408 | 75 | 510 | 86 | 528 |
| 43 | 314 | 57 | 388 | 63 | 388 | 68 | 382 | 76 | 548 | 86 | 590 |
| 43 | 314 | 58 | 538 | 63 | 442 | 68 | 510 | 76 | 468 | 86 | 688 |
| 44 | 31.2 | 58 | 355 | 63 | 432 | 68 | 364 | 76 | 456 | 86 | 518 |
| 44 | 465 | 58 | 355 | 63 | 434 | 68 | 510 | 76 | 450 | 86 | 528 |
| 44 | 466 | 59 | 364 | 63 | 408 | 68 | 364 | 76 | 452 | 86 | 590 |
| 45 | 354 | 59 | 380 | 63 | 388 | 69 | 366 | 76 | 452 | 86 | 688 |
| 45 | 446 | 59 | 364 | 63 | 442 | 69 | 368 | 77 | 508 | 87 | 532 |
| 45 | 446 | 59 | 366 | 64 | 382 | 69 | 416 | 78 | 490 | 88 | 530 |
| 48 | 314 | 59 | 364 | 64 | 460 | 69 | 446 | 78 | 424 | 88 | 494 |
| 48 | 342 | 59 | 380 | 64 | 370 | 69 | 368 | 78 | 440 | 88 | 552 |
| 48 | 342 | 59 | 364 | 64 | 446 | 69 | 446 | 78 | 482 | 88 | 528 |
| 49 | 334 | 59 | 366 | 64 | 382 | 69 | 368 | 78 | 494 | 88 | 540 |
| 49 | 330 | 60 | 384 | 64 | 460 | 69 | 446 | 78 | 424 | 88 | 526 |
| 50 | 34.8 | 60 | 384 | 64 | 370 | 70 | 420 | 78 | 440 | 38 | 478 |
| 50 | 366 | 60 | 358 | 64 | 446 | 70 | 450 | 78 | 482 | 88 | 562 |
| 50 | 348 | 60 | 358 | 65 | 446 | 70 | 406 | 78 | 494 | 88 | 526 |
| 50 | 366 | 61 | . 458 | 65 | 386 | 70 | 406 | 79 | 374 | 88 | 478 |
| 50 | 348 | 61. | 394 | 65 | 430 | 70 | 388 | 79 | 488 | 88 | 562 |
| 51 | 380 | 61 | 400 | 65 | 436 | 70 | 388 | $\bigcirc 79$ | 374 | 89 | 500 |
| 52 | 362 | 61 | 442 | 65 | 436 | 70 | 450 | 79 | 374 | . 98 | 500 |
| 52 | 362 | 61 | 370 | $66^{\prime}$ | 426 | 70 | 440 | 80 | 476 | 90 | 532 |
| 52 | 390 | 62 | 410 | 66 | 406 | 70 | 406 | 80 | 512 | 90 | 566 |
| 52 | 452 | 61 | 374 | 66 | 460 | 70 | 406 | 80 | 530 | 90 | 566 |
| 52 | 390 | 63 | 336 | 66 | 398 | 71 | 460 | 82 | -534 | 91 | 538 |
| 52 | 452 | 61 | 372 | 56 | 398 | 71. | 442 | 81 | 502 | 91. | 568 |
| 53 | 364 | 61 | 376 | 66 | 388 | 71 | 478 | 81 | 538 | 91 | 568 |
| 53 | 392 | 61 | 416 | 66 | 416 | 71 | 492 | 81 | 502 508 | 93 | 558 |
| 53 | 374 | 63 | 542 | 66 | 376 | 71 | 406 | 81 | 538 | 93 | 566 |
| 53 | 360 | 63 | 376 | 66 | 388 | 71 | 406 | 82 | 502 | 93 |  |
| 54 | 464 | 61 | 416 | 66 | 416 | 72 | 456 | 82 | 522 | 93 | 566 |
| 54 | 326 | 61. | 542 | 66 | 376 | 72 | 430 | 82 | 542 | 94 | 600 |
| 54 | 312 | 62 | 398 | 67 | 430. | 72 | 430 | 82 | 522 | 9.4 | 61 |
| 54 | 312 | 62 | 312 | 67 | 430 | 72 | 444 | 83 | 472 | . 95 | 61 |
| . 55 |  | 62 | 398 | 67 | 416 | 72 | 452 | 83 | 542 | 95 | 614 |
| 55 | 348 | . 62 | 370 | 67 | 466 | 72 | 460 | 83 | 476 | 98 | 646 |

COMPARATLVE TOGFL TOTALS WITH EQUATEM MTCHIGAN TEST SCORES

| 308 | 43 | 366 | 69 | 390 | 52 | 432 | 63 | 464 | 54 | 526 | 88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 312 | 39. | 366 | 57 | 392 | 53 | 432 | 63 | 464 | 73 | 528 | 88 |
| 312 | 44 | 366 | 50 | 394 | 61 | 432 | 63 | 466 | 67 | 528 | 86 |
| 312 | 62 | 366 | 59 | 394 | 56 | 434 | 63 | 466 | 44 | 528 | 86 |
| 312 | 54 | 366 | 50 | 394 | 56 | 434 | 63 | 466 | 67 | 528 | 86 |
| 312 | 62 | 366 | 59 | 398 | 62 | 436 | 65 | 466 | 44 | 530 | 88 |
| 312 | 54 | 368 | 69 | 398 | 66 | 436 | 65 | 468 | 76 | 530 | 80 |
| 314 | 48 | 368 | 69 | -398 | . 66 | 440 | 73 | 472 | 75 | 532 | 87 |
| 314 | 43 | 368 | 57 | 398 | 62 | 440 | 70 | 472 | 83 | 532 | 90 |
| 314 | 43 | 368 | 69 | 398 | 62 | 440 | 78 | 476 | 80 | 534 | 81 |
| 316 | 54 | 368 | 57 | 400 | 61 | 440 | 78 | 476 | 83 | 538 | 21 |
| 316 | . 56 | 370 | 61 | 406 | 70 | 442 | 61 | 476 | 83 | . 538 | 58 |
| 316 | 56 | 370 | 64 | 406 | 70 | 442 | 71 | 478 | 7 | . 538 | 81 |
| 330 | 49 | 370 | 62 | 406 | 66 | 442 | 63 | 478 | 88 | 538 | 81 |
| 330 | 35 | 370 | 64 | 406 | 40 | 442 | 63 | 478 | 88 | 540 | 88 |
| 330 | 35 | 370 | 62 | 406 | 71 | 444 | 72 | 482 | 78 | 542 | 82 |
| 332 | 42 | 372 | 61 | 406 | 70 | . 446 | 65 | 482 | 78 | 542 | 83 |
| 332 | 42 | 374 | 79 | 406 | 71 | 446 | 69 | 488 | 79 | 542 | 83 |
| 334 | 49 | 374 | 53 | 406 | 70 | 446 | 45 | 488 | 84 | 542 | 61 |
| 342 | 48 | 374 | 79 | 408 | 68 | 446 | 69 | 490 | 78. | 544 | 85 |
| 342 | 48 | 374 | 79 | 408 | 68 | 446 | 45 | 492 | 71 | 544 | 85 |
| 348 | 50 | 376 | 61 | 408 | 63 | 446 | 64 | 494 | 88 | 548 | 76 |
| 348 | 50 | 376 | 66 | 408 | 63 | 446 | 69 | 494 | 78 | 548 | 85 |
| 348 | 55 | 376 | 61. | 310 | 61 | 450 | 70 | 494 | 78 | 552 | 88 |
| 348 | 50 | 376 | 86 | 410 | 63 | 450 | 70 | 498 | 68 | 558 | 93 |
| 348 | 55 | 380 | 51 | 416 | 69 | 450 | 76 | 498 | 74 | 558. | 93 |
| 350 | 39 | 380. | 59 | 416 | 67. | 452 | 57 | 500 | 89 | 562 | 88 |
| 354 | 45 | 380 | 59 | 416 | 66 | 452 | 72 | 500 | 89 | 562 | 88 |
| 355 | 58 | 382 | 68 | 416 | 62 | 452 | 76 | 502 | 82 | 566 | 90 |
| 355 | 58 | 382 | 64 | 416 | 67 | 452 | 52 | 502 | 81 | 566 | 93 |
| 358 | 60 | 382 | 64 | 416 | 66 | 452 | 72 | 502 | 74 | 566 | 90 |
| 358 | 60 | 384 | 60 | 416 | 61 | 452 | 76 | 502 | 81 | 566 | 93 |
| 360 | 41 | 384 | 60 | 420 | 70 | 452 | 52 | 502 | 74 | 568 | 91 |
| 360 | 53 | 386 | 65 | 420 | 63 | 456 | 72 | 508 | 77 | 568 | 91 |
| 362 | 52 | 388 | 70 | 424 | 78 | 456 | 76 | 510 | 75 | 590 | 86 |
| 362 | 52 | 388 | 70 | 424 | 78 | 458 | 61 | 510 | 75. | 590 | 86 |
| 364 | 53 | 388 | 66 | 426 | 66 | 460 | 71 | 510 | 68 | 600 | 94 |
| 364 | 59 | 388 | 57 | 428 | 68 | 460 | 66 | 510 | 68 | 600 | 94 |
| 364 | 59 | 388 | 63 | 430 | 67 | 460 | 64 | 512 | 80 | 614 | 95 |
| 364 | 68 | 388 | 66 | 430 | 67 | 460 | 72 | 518 | 86 | 614 | 95 |
| 364 | 59 | 388 | 57. | 430 | 72 | 460 | 64 | 518 | 86 | 646 | 98 |
| 364 | 59 | 388 | 63 | 430 | 72 | 460 | 72 | 522 | 82 | 646 | 98 |
| 364 | 68 | 390 | 52 | 430 | 65 | 464 | 73 | 522 | 82 | 688 | 36 |

Only once in 5 years of testing have we had a difference when students were retested on alternate tests that was significant enough for replacement in classes. This student we later found had been traveling without sleep for two nights before testing and had also been very ill the previous night.

We have not found individual scores of TOEFL helpful in placement in classes although students with over 550 have never had to take ELI courses. Although there is a moderate correlation between the totals of the two tests $(.06)$ this would not be helpful in substituting TOEFL for Michigan in placement. Correlations show that the individual TOEFL test scoredin vocabulary would be the only one that is helpful for
diagnostic purposes.
TOEFL and Michigan test scores show little influence on success in Freshman English. Chart 10 shows the highest and lowest TOEFL and Michigan equated scores for each grade category: A, B, C, D, X, F, Withdraw. A student may be given an $X$ the first time he takes a course if he has put forth an effort to succeed and does not warrant a passing grade, while an $\mathbf{F}$ is given to a student who fails because of lack of effort or to a student who retakes the class and again cannot make a passing grade. There has been no distinction made between withdraw passing and withdraw failing on the chart.

All available grades in Freshman English


| TOEFL | 41.3766 | 9.26663 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Michigan | 24.5844 | 7.00672 |
| Corzelation Coefzicient. - . $61516: 7$ |  |  |

Correlation Coefincient -. . 615167

READING COMPREHENSTON

| TOEFL | 43.6645 | 8.23733 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Michigan | 10.9671 | 7.96966 |

Correlation Coefficient - . 493629
were correlated with both TOEFL and equated Michigan Scores. First by total scores in all classes and then for each class separately. These were 111, 112 (the original Freshman English requirement) then 100A, $100 \mathrm{~B}, 201$, and 202 (the new general requirement). Withdraws and X grades were considered with the Fs for this part of the study. Only in the beginning classes (1ll until Fall 1969 and 100 A after that time) was there a significant positive correlation. These were significant on the .001 level with both the TOEFL and the Michigan tests. $112,100 \mathrm{~B}$, and 201 showed no significance, but surprisingly 202 showed a significant negative correlation of .05 . This wonld indicate that the further a student progre. es in his college English the ${ }^{\text {imss }}$ his original


Correlation Coefficient - . $44956^{\circ}$

## Chart No. 9B

TOEFL - MICHIGANE TEST CORRELATIONS BY COUNTRIES

Means Standard
Deviation


English ability would influence his grades. Total grades for all classes and test scores had no significance. In this study no attempt was made to correlate figures on students who had had English Language Institute classes or other special English classes for non-native speakers. I have these figures and will probably make a report on them at a

Continued on Page 9.


## TOEFL and Michigan Test Scores

Continued from Page 7
later date.
From this study I would conclude that:

1. While both TOEFL and Michigan Tests measure a student's English ability, their correlation is only moderate for total scores, with considerable variation in individual categories, and could not be used interchangeably for student evaluation or placement.
2. Neither TOEFL nor Michigan Test Scores have significance on $a^{2}$ non-native English speaking student's success in college work. Although the grades in the first English class taken reflect English ability as shown by these tests neither further English classes nor graduation, which are the real goals of a college student, show any correlation.

Chart No. 10

GRADES IN FRESHMAN ENGLISH

|  | A | B | C | D | X | E | W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TORFL HIGF | 510 (75) | $660(98)$ | 624 | 542 (61) | $566(81)$ | 624 | 500 |
| TOEFL KOW | 366 (59) | 408(68) | 312 (62) | 374 (61) | 382 (64) | 254 | 376 |
| MICH HIGH | 98 | $98(660)$ | 98 | $71(478)$ | 93 | 90 (566) | 72 |
| MICH LOW | 53 (440) | 54 | 38 | $61(374)$ | 47 | 57 (452) | 50 |

[^0]
[^0]:    ficures in ( ) denote enter TOEFI or Minch mest Score for same stuadent.

